To: Strefan Fauble[strefan.fauble@lacity.org]
Cc: Jeanne Min[jeanne.min@lacity.org]

From: Dan Halden

Sent Wed 5/1/2019 12:59:42 AM

Subject: Re: Attorney client privilege: CPRA request (CD13.2019.03.27.a)

OK. Do we need to a) re-do anything, or b) respond?



Dan Halden

Director, HEART of Hollywood
Office of Councilmember Mitch O'Farrell, 13th District
(213) 473-2187 | daniel.halden@lacity.org | www.cd13.com

HEART of Hollywood | Schrader Bridge Housing | CD13 Year in Review

Find the Councilmember on:

On Tue, Apr 30, 2019 at 5:48 PM Strefan Fauble <strefan.fauble@lacity.org> wrote:

Adrian has a point. I would not have people forward emails to you, thereby creating a new, different record. Have them print them and give you the paper copies.

On Mon, Apr 29, 2019 at 11:51 AM Daniel Halden < daniel.halden@lacity.org > wrote:

Hi Strefan - for our call (see below). I don't understand what he means. Thanks.

Dan Halden
Director, HEART of Hollywood
Councilmember Mitch O'Farrell
City of Los Angeles, 13th District
www.cd13.com

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: adrian@123mail.org
Date: April 29, 2019 at 11:47:42 AM PDT
To: "Dan Halden" < Daniel.Halden@lacity.org>
Subject: Re: CPRA request (CD13.2019.03.27.a)

Thanks Dan.

If you remember, part of the reason that CD13 gave me for, in my opinion illegally, refusing to provide emails in any kind of electronic format, was that "forwarding creates a new record." And yet here Ms. Min forwarded an email to you, which you then printed out, redacted, scanned, and emailed to me.

Now, none of what CD13 produces is an exact copy of anything, but this instance is even worse than usual and is also precisely what the CA's office has told you all not to provide. As long as CD13 is going to continue to refuse to export emails directly to PDF, refuse to redact them directly in PDF format despite the fact that the City has this capability, all on the advice of the CA, the least you all can do is not produce copies by forwarding.

Thus can you please ask Ms. Min to provide what passes for an exact copy around CD13 rather than this "new record" produced by forwarding?

Thanks!

Adrian

On Mon, Apr 29, 2019, at 11:28 AM, Dan Halden wrote:

Please see attached. Please note that portions of the responsive information have been redacted from the requested materials pursuant to Government Code Sections 6254(c) and 6255, which permits redaction of the personal contact information belonging to private citizens because the public interest served by not disclosing the record and protecting individuals' privacy interest in their personal information clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of the record.



## Dan Halden

Director, HEART of Hollywood
Office of Councilmember Mitch O'Farrell, 13th District
(213) 473-2187 | daniel.halden@lacity.org | www.cd13.com

HEART of Hollywood | Schrader Bridge Housing | CD13 Year in Review

Find the Councilmember on:

On Wed, Mar 27, 2019 at 8:07 PM <adrian@123mail.org> wrote:

Good evening, Dan.

- I'd like to see all emails between any CD13 staff and MOF on the one hand and Bryan Kim on the other from April 1, 2016 through March 28, 2019. I need to see all attachments as well. Please be sure that all staff and MOF accounts are searched if they're used to conduct City business, whether or not they are official accounts.
- Note that Gmail retains attachments in whatever format they're in and that these are accessible to the end-user of the City's version of Gmail. Furthermore, the City of LA uses these various formats internally.
- Thus, even though I think the City Attorney's theory of why the City isn't obligated to provide emails in their native format is completely wrong, it nevertheless does not apply to email attachments, which I will therefore need to inspect or obtain copies of in their native formats.
- Also, please note that there's absolutely no reason to redact Bryan Kim's email address and you can save everyone so much time and trouble if you don't do it!

Thanks!

## Adrian

## **Attachments:**

CD13.2019.03.27.a.pdf

Strefan Fauble Deputy City Attorney III

This electronic message transmission contains information

from the Office of the Los Angeles City Attorney, which may be confidential or protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or the work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the content of this information is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please

notify us immediately by e-mail and delete the original message and any attachments without reading or saving in any manner.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*